

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,747	02/22/2000	Huey Ly	10992824-1	3079
22879	7590 10/02/2003		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			WOOD, WILLIAM H	
	2400, 3404 E. HARMON' TUAL PROPERTY ADMI		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 80527-2400	7-2400		1,
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	ls

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/510,747	LY, HUEY	
Navioury Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Wood	2124	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The apprunt of the fee. The appropriate in the final or the final control of the final control or the final con	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	· / 		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) will be rejected is provided below		ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			/
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	, /	VW,	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other:	t(s)(PTO-1449) Parer No(s)	lodd Ingberg mary Fxami	/ ; ner
		Group 2100	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant continues to argue there is no motivation to combine the references. However, the motivation is laid out in the previous rejections and arguments to support the rejections. No new information has been presented as to disuade one from the obviouness of the rejection. Along the same lines, Applicant argues Touboul would be destroyed by the combination, yet this remains to be seen for at least the reasons stated in the previous office action. Additionally, the very next paragraph of Touboul (column 2, lines 18-20) mentions providing agents. No evidence that Touboul would be destroyed has been persuasively presented. All other arguments are believed to be representative to previously refuted argements and the rejection is maintained as originally stated..

Todd Ingberg

Primary Examiner

Group 2/100